

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/484,895	HARRINGTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1636

All Participants:

(1) Cynthia Kanik.

Status of Application: After a non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2005

Time: Morning

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claim 87

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney Kanik to propose a minor change in claim 87 in the Supplemental amendment filed on 8/13/04 as it appears in Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in conditions for allowance. Ms. Kanik accepted the proposed change..